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Contemporary African art means everything and nothing. A style, a recognisable identity among a group of intellectuals, the cultural production of one or several territories, an issue expressed by artists in their work, an ideology, the exclusion of an entire continent from the context of contemporary art.

The definition – and consequently the contents – of contemporary African art changes and has changed according to its use and users.¹

The very concept of contemporary African art is tightly related to the history of its promotion. Exhibitions, publications, magazines and institutions have been launched to change the perception of Africa; to make its richness, liveliness and contemporariness both perceived and visible; to allow its protagonists to be integrated in the global market.²

Through this process of promotion, Africa turned from a territory into an identity. A heterogeneous and mutable one, each time defined differently according to the promoters who consciously or unconsciously got involved in this wide marketing project.³

¹ Looking at the exhibitions (without taking into consideration the artists’ monographs and those focused on specific countries), we can note that these events have applied different methodologies to present contemporary African art. For example, Jean-Hubert Martin in Magiciens de la terre inside an international African show included artists selected freely by his team of researchers (among whom there was André Magnin); Susan Vogel in Africa explores presented a heterogeneous collection of works categorised into typologies; Clémentine Deliss in Seven stories interpreted contemporary African art as the history of several histories and worked with a team of specialised curators; Okwui Enwezor in The short century observed the political implications of cultural productions on the continent from a colonial and post-colonial perspective; the Dakar Biennial shows every two years in Senegal a selection of artists with the nationality of an African country.

² Differently from what happens in shows and publications focused generically on contemporary art, most projects on contemporary African art are nourished by justifications. In most cases, in catalogues, articles and press releases we will find an explication about why it is necessary to promote African art, why it is indispensable to change the perception of Africa and why it is time to sustain intercultural dialogue. More than explicit (and simple) cultural objectives, it appears that exhibitions and publications on contemporary African art more likely have objectives related to co-operation, development and integration (or have to sell themselves this way). This situation seems to be confirmed by a growing number of curators and cultural operators who refuse to justify their interest for Africa to avoid this rhetoric of good-natured sympathy (for example, Dídac P. Lagarriga, Chimurenga: Who no know go no; An interview with Ntone Edjabe, 2004).

³ The last exhibition in order of time that turned on the debate is Africa remix, one of the widest and most ambitious containers of African artists the West has ever hosted. During the three days conference in Paris, the curator Simon Njami expressed his desire to close the curtain on contemporary African art and move on. From his side the show – open also to North African artists and artists from the diaspora – provided the appropriate epilogue to an outdated approach. Outdated or not, the exhibitions gave its own remixed definition of contemporary African art, further encouraged a representation of international art which was already largely under discussion far before 2004 and without any doubt produced an excellent marketing project, not only of contemporary African art, but also of its curators.
**Contemporary African art** – promoted as such – is in fact fundamentally a brand, constructed and finalized to the import-export of a continent and its diaspora.4

Its history is not only marked by the definition of its content, but also by the definition of its containers. To trace this other history, a reasonable system is to identify its footprints. Focusing on documentation, we may observe the exhibitions, publications, magazines and institutions, which enriched the definition of contemporary African art, and the methodology they applied. The great advantage is that we move from a historical approach to a historiographic one. Instead of defining what contemporary African art is, we define who defined contemporary African art: instead of nourishing a discourse on identity we focus on material evidence. It is obviously a reductive and faulty approach, but it has the advantage of producing and giving visibility to more composite and quantitative documentation rather than trying to define what contemporary African art actually is.

The issue of material evidences is essential.5 Until a growing and diversified documentation becomes widely available, we will be permanently trapped in the necessity of producing background information. We will repeat and multiply promotional projects which, instead of moving on and experimenting new tools and modalities of rewriting history and representing its complexity, will constantly perceive the need to disseminate and didactically teach – mainly to the so-called West – that history and those histories which are still considered excluded and marginal. If catalogues are incomplete,6 reviews rare and research still limited,

---

4 The idea that contemporary African art has to be promoted and has to acquire a wider international visibility is central in the guides lines of grant-makers (such as AFCAA-Afrique en Créations, Africalia, Prince Claus Fund, Ford Foundation), which require from financed activities to be development and sustainable projects. Those international foundations and semi-government institutions are focused on Africa or on the South and often do not have links with analogous national agencies devoted to the cultural sector. Events on contemporary African art are (or have been) also financed by African governments often associated or related to pan-African ideologies; lately the South African role has become central, with new wide projects, such as Trans Cape and the Africa Centre, projected towards the continent. The African specificity is also recognisable among the organisations, curators, art critics and cultural operators that deal with contemporary African art and that have mainly competencies in their geographic field with limited contacts in the international one (in the richer sense of the word). In the West, the process of promotion of contemporary African art has produced a specific African field, rather than an inclusion of African artists inside the wide international art world. The few artists who have succeeded in avoiding this logic are those who have presented their works mainly in exhibitions and publications that were not specifically African (Gilane Tawadros, Conference at Africa remix, Centre Pompidou, Paris, 15/06/2005), even though – in different ways – in those projects they did represent an African presence.

5 Studies and documentation on contemporary African art – in its wide sense – are still limited and marginally available. The primary identifiable sources are still the researches produced by Revue Noire, by independent art critics and curators, by anthropologists and by the African institutions which disseminate them directly, even though few are really visible outside their countries, such as the biennials, the Townhouse Gallery in Cairo, the South African galleries and the online magazine Arthrob. Exhibitions such as Magiciens de la terre (with further researches made by André Magnin for the Pigozzi Collection), Africa explores, Seven stories, The short century, the Dakar and Bamako Biennials are the real source – marginally integrated – of many new projects. The critical debate is fairly developed, too. Approximate and mistaken data are spread out without real control and argumentation from the research community and from the cultural operators. A certain attitude is also responsible for underestimating rigorous studies: since most people believe they discover Africa and since they give for granted that in Africa there is little and that the West knows next to nothing about it in any way, they simply do not check. A quite emblematic case is Authentic/Ex-Centric, a side-event of the Venice Biennial 2001, which proposed itself as a sort of first African participation at the Venice Biennial. Even though some information was included inside the catalogue, the curators most evidently did not feel the need to produce a real inquiry on the African presence at the biennial (some participations are mentioned – such as the Egyptian and South African ones and the shows at the 45th and 48th edition – but there is no indication of the exhibition of 1922 or of the presentations of Tunisia, Liberia, Congo, Nigeria and Zimbabwe).

6 Discussion with Akinbode Akinbiyi, Berlin, January 2006.
what we can do is ask. Witnesses can enrich the sources and, thanks to the Internet, it is now actually possible to produce contents through community-based processes.\footnote{The bibliography of this dossier of \textit{Africa e Mediterraneo} is an experiment in this direction. The first partial bibliography has been uploaded under \textit{arte contemporanea africana} on the website of Wikipedia, the Italian version of the well-known online free encyclopaedia. On Wikipedia everybody can freely modify entries, correct them, update them and consult, not only the documentation, but also the history and the evolution of the documentation he/she is looking at. Through the Wikipedia community and through a call for participation, the bibliography has been edited, freely and collectively. On this issue we publish the version of the online bibliography updated at the 20th of July 2006. Obviously all the documentation will remain permanently available on Wikipedia and it will always be possible to use, update, correct and translate it.}

If we cannot agree on Charlemagne’s coronation, we will certainly not trace a shared history (of the history) of contemporary African art. But this is a positive result. Today we need to \textit{multiply visions} – as Rasheed Araeen would say – and not necessarily to reach a conclusion. Everyone – whatever origin, residency and training – has the inviolable right to have his/her own perspective on the world and to give his/her own interpretation. And online there is all the space and the freedom to do so.\footnote{During this year’s conference at the Dakar Biennial, Yacouba Konaté attacked the role of anthropologists in interpreting the contemporary arts of Africa and invited Africans to gain a major role in the critical inquiry. In his presentation, the artistic director of \textit{Dak’Art 2006} gave voice to a tendency that is always relevant in the debate on African art (and strongly perceived of late), which opposes \textit{Africans to Westerns}. More oriented towards origins, training, nationality, skin colour and residency of the authors rather than contents, this approach has been largely supported by the Afro-American and South African perspectives, in which social classifications – independently from changes in the legislation – are particularly present in critical studies. This attention to individuals becomes more ambiguous when the discourse is projected on the Internet. A project such as Wikipedia produces unsigned entries, in which contributions from different authors can mix indistinctly. The proper distinction appears between active and passive users, in other words, between people who can or cannot directly upload contents online. In the specific field of culture, it is not appropriate to argue that the digital divide is geographical, but possibly generational. In any case it is never irremediable.}
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